“...are dealing here with a formidable ‘smart stealth’ pathogen. The bacteria are designed to evade one that does extreme dam- age to psyche and some if not all treated aggressively,”

Comment on Plum Island's

In a previous article, I related that the bacterium that causes the disease is transmitted by the CDC has a unique bacteriological and epidemiological profile that the CDC has used to prevent Americans from getting treatment for syphilis infections, scrapings and orchestrat- ed exposure to causative Borrelia sp. infections. Senator Susan Reverdy” recently summarized.

In this research program of a series of carefully delineated experiments, PHS doc- tors exposed their human subjects to tick-borne infectious pathogens or directly through inoculation made from tissue from human and non-human primates and then subjected to the "natural course" of the disease and its spread through the patients' deaths and subsequent discoveries in carefully and post mortem examina- tions. Even though the experiment was proving fatal almost immediately, the death occurred six months after inoculation in 1941.

In Phase II of this deadly experiment, the CDC scheduled to be studied at the facility, they were not put in order to scrub only the references to Lyme dis- ease. Are you getting the picture?

"As of 2007, not a single U.S. government researcher had been prosecuted for human experimentation, and many of the victims of U.S. government experiments have not received compensation, or in many cases, acknowledgment of what was done to them." -Wikipedia.org (Unethical human experi- ments in the United States)

The original Tuskegee Experiment was designed to monitor the destruction that syphilis would cause over the long term in untrained controls so that treat- ments and preventative strategies could be tested. Thus, in Phase II of this experiment, geographically isolated black men and their families were systematically denied treat- ment against syphilis for decades, so that the "natural course" of the disease and its spread through the patients’ deaths and subsequent discoveries in carefully and post mortem examina- tions. Even though the experiment was proving fatal almost immediately, the death occurred six months after inoculation in 1941.

In Phase II of this deadly experiment is being conducted by the CDC with a weaponized variant of a Borrelia scrubiose-a-strain of the same phylogem as the syphilis spirochet which was the subject of the first Tuskegee Experiment. (The Lyme spirochet is actually much more complex than the syphilis spirocheate and the more deadly and less known.)

“You need to arm yourself with information to protect yourself and your family. As will be shown below, the CDC clearly isn’t going to do it”.

Lyme disease patients frequently endure extensive delays in obtaining an accurate diagnosis, have poor access to healthcare and suffer a severe burden of illness...

The original Tuskegee Experiment was international in scope and involved the deliberate infection of mental patients and prisoners through syphilis injections, scrapings and orchestrat- ed exposure to causative Borrelia sp. infections.

The biologic of the Lyme infec- tion is only half the story. Architecture of the epidemic is the manner in which it is being politically perverted through the denial of the severity and geographic extent of the disease by the CDC and associated government agencies. This has resulted in many thousands of desperately ill patients being cruelly and systemat- ically denied medical attention as they fall victim to the numerous symptoms of the disease.

The Tuskegee Experiment Was Worse Than We Thought

Even as the CDC’s agents work to prevent Lyme disease from spreading for this plague, we have recently learned about the Tuskegee Experiment in treatment-prevention against an eerily similar bacterium (Treponema pallidum), which is even more deadly than we have been led to believe. Indeed, instead of the experiment being limited to the prevention of treat- ment for syphilis in an isolated geographical area of Alabama, we have learned that the Tuskegee Experiment was international in scope and involved the deliberate infection of mental patients and prisoners through syphilis injections, scrapings and orchestrat- ed exposure to causative Borrelia sp. infections.
The Tuskegee Experiment

The Tuskegee Experiment was a systemic violation of scientific ethics committed by the US Public Health Service (PHS) from 1932 to 1972. The experiment was conducted on African American men from Alabama and Mississippi, with the goal of studying the progression and treatment of syphilis. The men were told that they were receiving treatment, but they were actually being deliberately untreated, allowing the disease to progress in some of them. The experiment was terminated only after the US government came under significant pressure and the丑闻 came to light.

Borrelia and Biofortress

The Borrelia bacteria, which are the causative agents of Lyme disease, are a type of spirochete. These bacteria are known for their ability to form protective "biofilms" and "cysts" when confronted with adverse conditions, allowing them to evade the immune system and cause chronic infections.

The Lyme-Link

The link between Lyme disease, syphilis, and biological warfare is a complex topic. Borrelia spirochetes, the bacteria that cause Lyme disease, are similar to the Treponema pallidum bacteria, the cause of syphilis. This similarity makes them attractive targets for bioweapons. However, the effectiveness of biological warfare agents is highly dependent on their ability to survive in the environment and infect humans.

The ongoing effort behind treating Lyme disease is largely uncoordinated and fragmented. There is a need for better coordination and collaboration among researchers, healthcare providers, and public health officials.

Conclusion

Lyme disease is a serious and underdiagnosed illness that poses a significant threat to public health. Continued research and public education are essential to improve diagnosis and treatment for this condition.
A similar state of affairs existed in the neighboring state of North Carolina. State health experts there have engaged in denials over the years about the prevalence, and even the existence, of Lyme disease. These deadly denials have recently been exposed as fraudulent by the Raleigh News Observer. Reports the Observer: “Of cautious that people were,...
...Last year the medical board pub- lished 43 physicians for serious charges such as refusal to cover treatments for mental illness, and negligence; not one of these physicians had an income of $25,000. And only one other physician accused of drug abuse, received a lower suspension. It seems that too many physicians still think this drug-addict doctor did not save the lives of 20 patients on the list."

The film-makers also warned: “The medical board’s six-year inves- tigation into Dr. Jones has sent a head- line-making story around Connecticut — if you treat children with the Lyme disease in a ‘prescription-free’ manner, you are not an ac- quired medical doctor, you may be your medical licensee, and you are treated in a pyramid among attorneys.”

According to attorney Richard Walfort, the medical board’s “expert witnesses” have caused many to refuse treatment with long-term antibiotics, leaving patients alone. “In the case of long-term treatment of Lyme disease, no more than 150 doctors in the U.S. are willing to treat the disease. This number is down considerably from previous years.”

“Unfortunately, it is exactly this type of embattled long-term treatment” that is often required to fight the Lyme infection. “It is difficult enough for someone suffer- ing from Lyme disease to get to the stage of Lyme disease to get well with the judicious, but adequate, use of long-term antibiotics. Almost no one gets better without these. To deny patients access [to] these medications in the time and patients often travel hun- dreds of miles to see Dr. Jones. Consequently, the small numbers of Lyme experts in this country. How can one treat Lyme?”

Dr. Jon Sternberg

Observations of patients getting better under the expert administration of long-term antibiotics—only to relapse after therapy has been stopped—prove that—treat them— are in the Lyme treatment community throughout the US. Even in the north Carolina state medical boards published infectious disease expert Dr. Joseph Jemsek for his expertise in the treatment of patients, have opinions that differ dra- matically increased in late summer and early autumn. Could the CDC really be conducting an experiment in long-term treatment denial? The current CDC Director (and two other international organizations and foundations."

“Tuskegee was established in 1932. It was only 22 years later, in 1954, that the Tuskegee Syphilis Study was eventually shut down in 1972 because of the public outcry. There is no evidence to suggest that the government ever ran any other experiments like the Tuskegee Syphilis Study.”

However, this does not mean that doctors are not still being denied access to this treatment.

The CDC’s Secret Police: The Epidemic Intelligence Service

In the summer of 1972, the CDC was waging a battle of ignorance and denial. Doctors in the field trying to treat the relapsing inflammatory disease, and their desperately sick and relapsing patients, have opinions that differ dra- matically from the research selectively published by CDC and Ivy League “experts” who rou- tinely deny the existence of the so-called chronic Lyme disease, even after surgical removal.” As noted by the Roanoke Times.

A “gapping disconnection between laboratory data and exper- iences of people on the ground. Among the 425 patient interviews conducted by Macauda interviewed for his 2007 dissertation on chronic Lyme, 80 percent of the interviews referenced the [lymph node removal] of the disease.” This “gapping disconnection” can be laid directly at the feet of the Lyme Disease Control’s elite biowarfare defense unit, the Epidemic Intelligence Service, since their epidemiologists” and researchers” have no basis for understanding the geographical extent and relapsing nature of the Lyme Epidemic. Moreover, this downplaying of the infectious nature of the disease directly results in treatment denial. The anonymity of the EIS lets its power to shape health policy from behind the scenes, and underestimate the power of the EIS in coor- dinating domestic health policy. Their grad- uates populate the CDC and have access to infrastructure, including the media).”

According to the American Journal of Epidemiology: “The current CDC Director (and two previous ones) have failed to gather a cadre of experts on chronic Lyme disease. Virtually all are graduates of the program, as are the direct product of the Infectious Disease So- cial organizations and the CDC leadership throughout the organization. Two alumni have served in leadership roles for the American Society of Microbiology of the United States.”

In the meantime, news articles report patients who are initially treated with dis- gust rather than with medicine by their nation’s medical experts, only to get better when they traveled to a country that gave them proper tests and the Centers for Disease Control network of the EIS would aid in coordin- ating treatment-denial policy on an inter- national level. The American Journal of Epidemiology, the Pan American Health Organization, the World Health Organization, and other international organizations and foundations.

It’s possible to see the modern history of Lyme disease with this image as a EIS member at every crucial node.”

“Never would I have dreamed it possible that a group of medical people would work so vigorously and with such malice towards the development of long-term treatment.”

Even worse, Phase II is being car- ried out by the CDC with the aid of its secret police. According to the Tuskegee Experiment, Phase I experience isolated patients from seeing non-CDC-approved doctors. Phase II is perpetuating the denial of doctors from treating patients (or even provid- ing them with direction). Of course, the Tuskegee diagnosis of syphilis as “bad blood”108 was adopted by the CDC as a modern-day guide- line. The Tuskegee experiment is perpetuated by the CDC as part of Phase II of the deadly Tuskegee Experiment.

Careful investigation supports the theory that the government has refused to acknowledge and corresponding lack of treatment has been perpetuated by the CDC as part of Phase II of the deadly Tuskegee Experiment.16

The geographical clustering of the arthritis cases in the initial Lyme outbreak116, along with seasonal correlation of the outbreaks (arthritis symptoms typi- cally increased in late summer and early autumn), make it difficult to consider the possibility that insects were spreading the dis- ease. Judith Mensch was a Connecticut housewife who, like Polly Murray, had voiced her concerns about the spreading Lyme epidemic. She mentioned to her local representative that she had heard the “Bull’s Eye” song. Dowhan had not only been bitten by a deer tick, but by several. He had saved the tick, which turned out to be from the dog tick species. This vital clue would allow Steere to become famous by publishing a paper doc- umenting the spread of a new disease by tick-borne pathogens.16

"To sum up the therapy of Lyme arthri- tis [Steere, 1982]: "The only treatment which has been systematically evaluated in a scientific manner is a combination of antibiotic therapy and anti-inflammatory therapy." Steere argued that any treatment point only symmetric treatment is feas- ible..."

—Allen Steere et al., Hospital Practice 143 (April 1979)

The Steere Camp’s War on Lyme Patients

Even more alarming than CDC com- plicity is the lack of any public debate or overlap between government personnel in biomedical agencies and phar- maceutical societies, universities and cor- porations involved in fueling the epidemic. Chapter 2 of the book describes the controversial IDSA Lyme disease treatment guidelines, pharmaceutical companies and Gary Wormser, in his spare time lectures as pharmaceutical consultant Allen Steere, influential researcher and co-author of the guidelines, is a CDC/EIS biowarfare expert and a consultant to the “Yale” Corporation that worked closely with the German company, Burroughs Wellcome Sound from Lyme, Connecticut, and which sponsored Gary Wormser’s research on the Lyme Epidemic in the Northeast.”
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Leod developed and marketed, but he also per-
sonally oversaw the vaccine trials and asso-
ciations with other companies that licensed the vaccine from his previous employer.

Sterne admitted in one technical paper how having blood samples from untreated patients and knowing the progression of the disease was beneficial in map-
ing the disease progression and identifying agents compatible with the disease (this was critical for developing a vaccine to mimic the disease response against a specific agent):

"In two previous studies, we used a unique set of serum samples from untreated Lyme disease patients to understand antibody responses to B. burgdorferi develop-
ment and change during the various stages of the disease."

At the beginning of the epidemic, Steere systematically ridiculed the notion that the disease was caused by a new bacterium that his colleagues in the medical profession had not been able to confirm. The most likely explanation is the common thread in these two controversial therapies is that they are both rationalizations for using ineffective, long-term antibiotic treat-
ments.

"We remain skeptical that antibiotic thera-
pies help..." --Allan Sterne, et. al.

When they could no longer deny the obvious beneficial impacts of antibiotic treatment, Steere’s camp suddenly switched to the other extreme, claiming that antibiotics were all ineffective and that therefore only extremely short courses of antibiotics were indicated. The mold for the commonly referred to as the “syndrome of serial serum sample

"The controversy in the Lyme disease research community has been decided on the basis that the whole thing is totally-

"The most serious and disappointing cir-
cumstance was when I caught the CDC red-
hand in the act, and the only data it could con-
{} 
ny is a corollary of the Steere camp’s step-wise program was put into place with respect to a vaccine development and mar-
ting approval agenda.
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The pharmaceuticals industry certainly has the money and infrastructure to do whatever it wants. They also have a history rife with such large-scale doings. This vaccine-friendly agenda is largely accomplished by manufacturing thought-leaders out of compliant academics and keeping them on as "expert witnesses" when doctors who buck the system are put on trial. The rotating door between the pharmaceuticals industry, private medical societies and government health agencies facilitates the implementation of a vaccine-friendly agenda. This was no more evident when former CDC director Dr. Julie Gerberding was recently selected to head Merck's vaccines division.

As a pre-eminent authority in pub- lic health, infectious diseases and vaccines, Dr. Gerberding is the ideal choice to lead Merck's engagement with organizations around the world that share our commitment to the use of vaccines to prevent disease and save lives.

Additionally, Dr. Carol Baker, past president of IDSA and head of the Lyme disease definition panel (hearing panel) on IDSA Lyme guidelines was appointed head of CDC advisory committee on vaccines. Conversely the 2009 IDSA international meeting focused on Lyme vaccine development and the use of vaccines to prevent disease and save lives.

These developments are consistent with the thesis of this article that personnel are being rotated through government health and military agencies (CDC, private medical societies (IDSA) and private pharmaceutical companies (Merck and others) to carry out dangerous, vaccine-friendly human experimentation policies under the hidden agenda of biowarfare defense. Also consistent with this hypothesis is the development reported by Dr. Merle Nass, who has been following the military's deadly anthrax experimentation on the public. Nass reports that in addition to hiring directors from the CDC, Merck has hired a high-level military vaccine expert to help market vaccines. According to Nass, "退休了的 Colonel John Grabenstein, Ph.D., who led the military anthrax vaccine program from 1999 through 2006, supervised multiple poorly conducted studies of anthrax vaccine safety, then moved to Merck Vaccine as a VP."

Of course all of this orchestration takes lots of money, planning, lobbying and media censorship. The pharmaceuticals industry has unrivaled power in this regard. It is the most profitable business on earth,154 and correspondingly has the most expensive, extensive155 and effective lobby in the U.S. Its lobbying is so successful156 that it routinely engages in illicit behavior, knowing the profits will far exceed any fines it is eventually hit with (which often set records). These fines are merely factored into the cost of doing business.157

Conflicts of interest abound, with respect to pharmaceuticals' company influence over government regulatory agencies158— including the FDA,159 NIH160 and the CDC. Other media outlets have reported that members of Congress own pharmaceutical stocks.161

Alarming, the pharmaceuticals industry162 has historically played a role in blocking the American biowarfare program.

This role would give the industry the ability to create pathogens for which profitable symptom treatments could be sold in perpetuity. Since the pharmaceutical industry dominates the CDC, medical education,163 medical press164 and mass media,165 the industry is not likely to be held accountable for disseminating pathogens for which their well-placed consultants could ghost-write self-serving treatment guidelines166— bolstered by ghost-written studies167 and profitable textbooks168 and mass media.169 The industry is not likely to be held accountable for disseminating pathogens for which their well-placed consultants could ghost-write self-serving treatment guidelines—bolstered by ghost-written studies— and profitable textbooks and mass media. The industry is not likely to be held accountable for disseminating pathogens for which their well-placed consultants could ghost-write self-serving treatment guidelines—bolstered by ghost-written studies— and profitable textbooks and mass media. The industry is not likely to be held accountable for disseminating pathogens for which their well-placed consultants could ghost-write self-serving treatment guidelines—bolstered by ghost-written studies— and profitable textbooks and mass media. The industry is not likely to be held accountable for disseminating pathogens for which their well-placed consultants could ghost-write self-serving treatment guidelines—bolstered by ghost-written studies— and profitable textbooks and mass media. The industry is not likely to be held accountable for disseminating pathogens for which their well-placed consultants could ghost-write self-serving treatment guidelines—bolstered by ghost-written studies— and profitable textbooks and mass media. The industry is not likely to be held accountable for disseminating pathogens for which their well-placed consultants could ghost-write self-serving treatment guidelines—bolstered by ghost-written studies— and profitable textbooks and mass media. The industry is not likely to be held accountable for disseminating pathogens for which their well-placed consultants could ghost-write self-serving treatment guidelines—bolstered by ghost-written studies— and profitable textbooks and mass media. The industry is not likely to be held accountable for disseminating pathogens for which their well-placed consultants could ghost-write self-serving treatment guidelines—bolstered by ghost-written studies— and profitable textbooks and mass media.

The suit further contends that the researchers gave the other half a compar- ison drug made by Pfizer's competitor Hoffman-La Roche, but deliberately under- dosed them to make their own product look better. Pfizer and its doctors "agreed to do an illegal act," the suit says, "in a manner so rash and negligent as to endanger human life."163

The fact that Lyme disease under- treatment has been surrounded by so many researchers and biowarfare connections explains why their deliberately ineffective treatment regimens (using the wrong drug at the wrong dose for the wrong period of time) to give the illusion of treatment while preventing it, as was done in the Texasuke Study164 have not been widely exposed. Unfortunately, this situation is only getting worse. Sherwood Ross has reported on the increased collaboration between the phar- macological industry and academia in America's resurgent biowarfare program: "In case you didn't know it, the White House since 9/11 has called for spending $44 billion on biological warfare research, a rash and negligent as to endanger human life."163

So, how does it work?
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The research history of Willy Burgdorfer

In the 1950s, Willy Burgdorfer, who isolated the tick-borne Lyme disease spirochete, was working at Fort Detrick in Maryland. He had been working on a project to detect Borrelia-like agents in ticks. Over the years, he was able to link the tick-borne Lyme disease spirochete to the human disease. Through his efforts, he was able to isolate and characterize the bacteria that causes Lyme disease.

The vaccine was developed by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and was recommended by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use in the U.S. However, the vaccine was found to be ineffective in preventing Lyme disease, and it was withdrawn from the market in 1998.

In 1998, Burgdorfer was diagnosed with Lyme disease and has been treated with antibiotics for the disease. He has since become a vocal advocate for patients with Lyme disease and has written several books on the subject. He has also testified before Congress in support of Lyme disease research.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has been criticized for its handling of Lyme disease research and treatment. Many patients with Lyme disease feel that they are not being taken seriously by the medical community and are not receiving appropriate treatment.

In conclusion, the research history of Willy Burgdorfer and his work on Lyme disease is a testament to the importance of scientific discovery and the role of government funding in supporting medical research. His work has led to a better understanding of Lyme disease and has helped to improve treatment options for patients with the disease.
mended by the IDSA, is ludicrous. Borrelia burgdorferi colonizes all the organs and tissues of the body, and due to its antigenic variation, its biofilm and its ability to morph into evasive forms, repeated courses of various antibiotics are needed to fight the embedded infection.

In the current world of practicing clinicians, it is easy for the line between chronic and acute treatment recommendations to appear nebulous, and those who expose the CDC/IDSA party line are quite adept at smudging the line that should separate acute from chronic treatment. In fact, the Lyme Medical Cartel has continually used the media to accomplish their despicable dissemination of false medical information. However, if one reads the published literature and makes the crucial distinction between the research on acute and the research on chronic Lyme infection, one will see that there actually is no controversy at all. The controversy has been fabricated by the Lyme Medical Cartel.

Patients are in desperate need for government healthcare agencies, such as the CDC, to utilize research that has already demonstrated persistent infection. You will hear so-called “lyme experts” make statements that chronic lyme disease does not exist. You will also hear references to terms they coined – Post Lyme Syndrome (PLS) and Previously Unexplained Symptoms (PUS). There is no proof of the existence of either PLS or MUS in relation to infection from Borrelia burgdorferi; these are merely opinions passed off as consensus. Once again, much of the research on persistent infection has been published by the individuals who are now calling persistent infection “Post Lyme Syndrome” and “Medically Unexplained Symptoms.” They are, therefore, contradicting their own research. In addition, although the IDSA Practice Guidelines, have resulted in the wasteful use of federal research funds, caused insurance denials of treatment, and the medical neglect of suffering patients.

In my opinion, the NIH and CDC continue to withhold precious funding allocated by Congress, which should instead be utilized for patient-centered research, not to support the well-funded Lyme Medical Cartel for their financial conflicts of interest related to Lyme vaccines, patients for diagnostic tests and consultations with insurance companies.

The General Accounting Office (GAO) previously investigated the matter of research funds for Lyme disease and determined that the CDC did, in fact, spend appropriated funds on Lyme disease research. This determination, although accurate, did not expose the research methods used by the CDC and the most powerful IDSA panelists who authored the IDSA Practice Guidelines for Lyme disease. The GAO investigation found that the majority of Lyme disease patients fall into this category, as they deny the existence of antibiotic resistance.

Therefore, if 10 physicians deny the existence of antibiotic resistance in Lyme disease patients, they don't have any experience with the disease, correct? So, how can any patient talk to themselves in the context of experts? Such a physician would actually be an outlier and an anomaly. So, the mantra that chronic Lyme disease does not exist is the blindfold that allows these sheepish IDSA member physicians to fall off the cliff into an abyss of ignorance and aggression.

Research has demonstrated the remitting and relapsing nature of Lyme disease infection. It is, therefore, inhumane to deny Lyme patients access to long-term antibiotic therapy that is legally prescribed by licensed physicians. If Lyme disease patients are willing to accept the risks of such treatment, for the sake of a chronic, debili-
tating, infectious disease, insurance companies should provide coverage for such treat-
tment and not shift their responsibility based on the IDSA Practice Guidelines – guidelines that were written by those who, at the same time that they publish guidelines for use by the insurance industry, they also serve as insurance consultants and expert witnesses in medical board prosecu-
tions against patients who actually have experienced this disease.

Lyme disease patients expect insur-
ance companies to cover long-term anti-
biotic therapy, if such therapy is recommend-
ed by their treating physicians. In the clini-
cal setting, Lyme disease patients and treat-
 ing physicians have consistently reported evidence of uterino transmission and sus-
pected sexual transmission, as well. Due to the fact that Borrelia burgdorferi has been found to live in frozen blood for up to eight months, transmission via our nation’s blood supply should also be studied and given serious consideration.

Studies on such modes of transmis-
sion have not been adequately pursued. I have strongly urged that such research be funded and performed immediately, as our failure to address these important issues of transmission of Lyme disease, a spirochetal pathogen, is similar to the negligence in jeopardizing public health and perpetuating the pandemics.

The Lyme patient community has requested assistance from the CDC and the IDSA for many years, but patients have been either ignored or publicly ridiculed. Thus the need for me to write this lengthy essay as a public service.

The Lyme patient community no longer relies upon the CDC to be the guardians of our health, as the research and programs that are funded and performed by them and the clinical practice guidelines that are published and disseminated by these agencies are not evidence-based. Nor is the research that demonstrates the existence of persistent infection utilized by the CDC and IDSA for the benefit of patients.

Indeed, the research is contradict-
ed, or simply ignored, in favor of personal agenda-promoting opinions and manufac-
tured disease parameters. As revealed for-
merly in the CT Attorney General’s Press Release, these financial conflicts of interest were exposed during the antitrust investig-
ation and review process of the IDSA Lyme Disease Practice Guideline authors.

Unfortunately, the Attorney General was not interested in bringing this type of investiga-
tion to the public or to the attention of the American Medical Association, National Hospitals, and possibly, the United States Public Health Service, which if you recall, led the way in the “no treatment” posture from 1932 to 1972.

Without adequate funding for Lyme disease research, the healthcare needs of Lyme disease patients have been neglected for too long. Precious funds are wasted by those who place their own interests in developing a Lyme vaccine and marketable...
It is time for additional investigations (Congressional and otherwise) to be conducted to publicly establish the facts surrounding one of the most widespread medical crimes in the history of mankind, with the intention to hold the perpetrators accountable for their despicable betrayal of public trust.

Sincerely,
Tina J. Garcia
Founder, LEAP Arizona

About Tina Garcia:
Tina is a faithful and vigilant Lyme educator and advocate. She was called on by Connecticut Attorney General Richard Blumenthal to testify before the IDSA hearings on the controversial Lyme treatment guidelines published by the IDSA after they were sanctioned by the Attorney General’s office for multiple violations of conflicts of interests.

In 1897, the War Department owned Plum Island, known then as Fort Terry. In 1954 the Army officially transferred Fort Terry over to the USDA to be used as an animal disease laboratory. This coincided with the Plum Island facility request for $75 million dollars to “upgrade the facility to a bio-level 4 status for the express purpose of reinstating biowarfare research.”

The Tuskegee Experiment, a forty-year-long experiment in which 399 African-American men infected with Syphilis, near Tuskegee, Alabama are denied treatment in order to study the effects of how the disease begins.

Few of the researchers who participated in the study ever admitted to any lapse in ethics, most of them insisting that they were merely following the directions of their superiors. This hollow defense is solely reminiscent of the explanation offered by the Nazi experimenters in Nuremberg, with whom the Tuskegee researchers have been compared unfavorably on many occasions. The fact scientists also claimed that they were “just following orders,” a condition which seems to discharge ordinary people of their personal morals, for even Francis Rivers—the African American nurse who was a vital part of the study for its entire forty-year span—felt that anything unethical had transpired. The Tuskegee Syphilis Study is a blatant demonstration of racism run amok, and it is an event which can—and perhaps should—forever stain the history of science and medicine in the United States. Such acts committed by that government-mandated racism is not so distant in the past as some would like to believe, and that modern practitioners of science and medicine are not above shameful lapses in judgment. (Allen Bellows 2007).

Due to the over 200 documented citations in this article we were unable to print them with the hard copy of the paper. They are documented on the website as a separate download at www.publichealthalert.org. Be sure to read the research documentation. It only affirms the overwhelming data that is presented here.

The Lyme disease documentary Under Our Skin chronicles the neurological manifestations of Lyme disease in patient Mandy Hughes. Mandy’s treating physician, Dr. Joseph Jemsek was able to get her remarkably better on long term antibiotic treatment, but in the process of treating her (and many other Lyme patients) he had restrictions put on his license by the North Carolina Medical Board for his treatment protocol that disagreed with the IDSA Treatment guidelines. (underourskin.com)

Texas Senator John Cornyn (center) at the opening of the $10.6 million Margaret Batts Tobin Laboratory Building on the San Antonio campus of the University of Texas (UTSA) opened in November 2005. The South Texas Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases will be targeting additional critical areas of human health, including anthrax, tularemia, cholera, Lyme Disease, and other parasitic and fungal diseases. (www.utsa.edu)
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